



IN THE ADJUDICATORY BOARD FOR MAJOR PORTS, MUMBAI
Application No.08/2025

LULU Convention and Exhibition Center Pvt. Ltd. ... Applicant

Versus

Cochin Port Trust, Represented by its Secretary ... Respondent No.1

The Board of Trustees of the Cochin Port ... Respondent No.2

Appearance:

For the Applicant : Sr. Advocate P.K. Suresh Kumar alongwith
Sr. Advocate P. Vinay Kumar

For the Respondent Nos.1 & 2: Sr. Advocate Joseph Markos along with
Advocate Allexander Markos

CORAM:

HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE A.J. DESAI, PRESIDING OFFICER
HON'BLE SHRI C. VISWANATH, MEMBER
HON'BLE DR. SANJEEV RANJAN, MEMBER

Date: 02 July 2026

ORDER

1. Petition for correction filed by Applicant is taken on record.
2. We have heard Learned Counsel P.K. Suresh Kumar appears for Applicant as well as Learned Counsel Joseph Markos appears for Respondents.
3. The pleadings of the case are completed. Respondents have raised an issue with regard to the jurisdiction to deal with the issue raised by the Applicant, can be adjudicated by the Board or not and particularly powers and functions of the Adjudicatory Board under Section 58 of Major Port Authorities Act, 2021.





4. Both the Learned Advocates have concluded their oral arguments on the issue of jurisdiction. We would decide about jurisdiction first and pass appropriate orders. The case on merits shall be dealt with thereafter.

5. The parties may supply their written arguments in support of the case i.e. on the issue of jurisdiction with a period of 3 weeks from today. The parties are open to supply the judgement/s which they may rely on. Exchange the citation among themselves.

6. After receiving the written arguments from them, an order with reference to issue of the jurisdiction shall be pronounced. Next date of hearing shall be informed to the parties.



Sd/-
(DR. SANJEEV RANJAN)
MEMBER

Sd/-
(C. VISWANATH)
MEMBER

Sd/-
(JUSTICE A.J. DESAI)
PRESIDING OFFICER